

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/29/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/849,132	05/04/2001	Dai-Liang Ting	JCLA5873	JCLA5873 1182  EXAMINER	
759	90 03/29/2		EXAM		
J.C. PATENTS	S INC.		AKKAPEDD	I, PRASAD R	
4 Venture, Suite Irvine, CA 920			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
Irvine, CA 920	010		2871		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			M
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/849,132	TING ET AL.	
·	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAN INO DATE of this communication and	Prasad R Akkapeddi	2871	lross -
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			
THE REPLY FILED 11 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	y to a ation in
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officinely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (c)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ice later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejecting HE FINAL REJECTION.  R 1.136(a) and the approper of the fee. The appropriation of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP  opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF</li> </ol>			
<ol><li>The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b</li></ol>	ecause:		
(a) $\square$ they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note because of the second s	below);		
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	s.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec	tion(s):		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	l be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>13-24</u> .			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app		$\sim$ /	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	ROBERT H. KIM	
0. Other:	SUPER TEC	VISORY PATENT EXA HNOLOGY CERTER 2	MINER 800

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The prosecution of the application was based upon the existing claim language. If the applicant claims different shapes for the bumps, the claim language should be more specific as to the nature of these bumps, either continuous or discontinuous. In a broad interpretation, a rough surface has several bumps. If the applicant is distinguishing the bumps recited in the claim from a rough surface, then the applicant is encouraged to more clearly define the shapes for these bumps. Then a reconsideration and/or further search might be required based on any new claim language.

PRA